

163 N. Main Street, P.O.Box 2880  
Concord, New HAMPSHIRE 03301-2880  
Attention : The Home Docket No. 03-E-0106

Claimant: Mariana Lanc.  
Insured: Cline, MacVean, Lewis and Sherwin, P.C.

**FUTHER RESPONSE**

2009 - HICIL-46  
CLMN 380502-01  
Home claim #085-0520-963  
New claim #145-0100-105

Claimant, Mariana Lanc, would like the explanation to what Office of the Clerk, Merrimack County Superior Court is playing if any in this action. Is or was there ever any investigation of these case done by this Supreme Court, or is it just place of the business for HOME Insurance, Co.?

In 1984 the claimant was supposed to be represented by the insured. His or their professional legal representation was "bizarre" according to original judge on the case, who said that "this case is based on very strong merits".

For that reason this case was in Supreme Court since 1985 till 2005 - 20 years.

At all times the insured were represented by HOME Insurance Co. attorneys who were trying to delay, and to destroy this case by refusing to discover. **HOME Insurance paid their legal fees 20 years, which clearly were sky high, to cover up insured legal misconducts, cover-ups, corruption and to obstruct the justice.**

The initial case was a matrimonial case based on a false criminal charges against claimant. Because the insured were very corrupted and worked with claimant's very influential, rich husband in return for a personal favors and financial gains, they intentionally failed to request the proof of all false criminal charges against their client (claimant). Additionally they intentionally failed to obtain both parties SS# and tax returns, the most important documents for the divorce settlement.

After almost 20 years the HOME Insurance attorneys finally manipulated new judge on old case, and falsely accused the claimant of refusing to produce her SS# and the copies her tax returns, which she provided to them many times over during 20 years.

The claimant's "old case" was dismissed by biased Supreme Court judge Bergerman who

wasn't familiar with the facts and circumstances of this case and allowed to be manipulated by HOME Insurance Co. attorneys.

The Appellate Court dismissed this case because the claimant was pro se litigant, not because the case had no merits.


The claimant learned throughout 20 years of involvement, that there were even group racketeering cases filed against HOME Insurance Co., but thrown out of the court, because of the courts' bias and the power to destroy them. Court clerks who are also attorneys, know that one day they may go to private practice and will be insured by same liability insurance company as defendants (clerk's tatement).

The legal professionals are very aware of their advantage and some of them have simply no fear to engage themselves in any professional misconducts because they will never be brought to justice.

These individuals also know that there will be no attorney who will zealously represent their client in a legal malpractice to finish it. The attorneys withdraw from legal malpractice action representation as soon their client runs out of money, and they destroy the case. There is clearly a bias and conflict of interest in legal malpractice cases.

Since HOME Insurance Co. was, and probably still is, (now under a different name), in almost all states of USA states <sup>V HAS MONOPOLY</sup> the investigations of all the cases and the practices of HOME Insurance Co. should be investigated by the Federal Courts, not by the HOME Insurance Co. itself.

Fremont, California February 25, 2010



MARIANA LANC - claimant  
45245 Lynx Dr. Fremont, CA 94539

copy to: Eric A. Smith  
Rackemann, Sawyer & Brewster P.C  
r ID No. 16952<sup>1</sup>  
160 Federal Street  
Boston, MA 02110-1700